Original Article:

Comparison of bacterial colonization of central venous catheters introduced through two different routes – a prospective, randomized, observational study

P. Hemalatha,¹ C. Suresh Kumar,¹ M. Hanumantha Rao,¹ Abhijit Chaudhary,² Aloka Samantaray,¹

P. Janaki Subhadra¹

Departments of ¹Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, ²Microbiology, Sri Venkateswara Institute and Medical Sciences, Tirupati

ABSTRACT

Background: Central venous catheterizations are commonly used in critically ill patients and may cause different complications including infections.

Methods: This prospective, randomized observational study was conducted in 60 patients admitted to respiratory intensive care unit (RICU) in a tertiary care hospital to compare the incidence and character of bacterial colonization between internal jugular (IJV) and subclavian (SCV) catheterization after seven days of placing the central venous catheter.

Results: The incidence of catheter tip colonization (CTC) was statically higher in IJV compared to SCV (p = 0.030) whereas, there was no significant difference in blood cultures (p = 0.671) between the groups. The incidence of CTC and growth on blood culture increased with increase in number of attempts. Common microorganism colonizing the central venous catheters (CVCs) was non fermenting Gram negative bacteria and Klebsiella spp. Two cases of catheter related blood stream infection (CRBSI) were reported in the SCV group. Microorganisms causing CRBSI were Pseudomonas spp and *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Conclusions: Despite sterile precautions bacterial colonization of central venous catheter tip is seen, more in the IJV group but CRBSI was common in SCV group. Catheter tip culture positivity does not confirm infection. As the number of attempts increase there are more incidences of positive blood culture and CRBSI.

Key words: Central venous catheter, Catheter tip colonization, Catheter related blood stream infection

Hemalatha P, Suresh Kumar C, Rao MH, Chaudhary A, Samantaray A, Janaki Subhadra P. Comparison of bacterial colonization of central venous catheters introduced through two different routes – a prospective, randomized, observational study. J Clin Sci Res 2017;6:153-9. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15380/2277-5706.JCSR.16.05.002.

INTRODUCTION

Central venous catheters (CVC) are commonly used to manage critically ill patients. These catheters provide vascular access for the administration of fluids, medications, blood products, total parenteral nutrition (TPN) and to monitor hemodynamic status. Central venous catheterization may cause mechanical, hemorrhage, thrombosis and infectious complications (5%-26%)¹ like local site infection, catheter related blood stream Received: May 30, 2016, Accepted: July 18, 2016.

Corresponding author: Dr P. Hemalatha, Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Sri Venkateswara Institute of Medical Sciences, Tirupati, India.

e-mail: madhugastro@gmail.com



Online access http://svimstpt.ap.nic.in/jcsr/jul-sep17_files/20a.16.05.002.pdf DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15380/2277-5706.JCSR.16.05.002

infection (CRBSI), septic thrombophlebitis,

endocarditis and other metastatic infections.²

The incidences of mechanical complications

has decreased due to central venous cannulation

under ultrasound guidance. CVCs have a higher

infection risk than other indwelling vascular

access lines. The majority of CRBSIs are

associated with CVCs. This causes significant

morbidity, mortality^{3,4} and add to the health

cost.⁵CRBSI are the first and preventable cause of nosocomial infections.⁶ Fewstudies^{7,8}

indicate that the selection of site for CVC may

predispose the patient to a different risk of CRBSI. The CRBSI can be effectively treated if the intial emprical antibiotics can target the microbial organism that commonly colonise the catheter tip. We have made an attempt to find out the incidence and type of catheter tip colonization in our hospital while using two commonly preferred sites for central venous catheterization (subclavian and internal jugular vein).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A prospective, randomized and observational study was conducted in 60 patients admitted to the respiratory intensive care unit (RICU) requiring central venous catheterization, allocated into two groups as group internal jugular vein (IJV) and group subclavian vein (SCV) of 30 in each group. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and written informed consent was taken from the patient's attendants after explaining about the study. Standard 7 Fr size radio opaque polyurethane double lumen catheters (Edward) without antimicrobial-coating were used for the study. The placement and maintenance of catheters were performed under strict aseptic precautions by use of large sterile drapes around the insertion site, surgical antiseptic hand wash, sterile gown, gloves, mask and cap. The skin insertion site was first disinfected with 10% povidine iodine which was allowed to dry, then spirit was applied for one minute. In all patients, the catheters were percutaneously inserted using Seldinger technique with electrocardiogram (ECG) and pulse oximetry monitoring of arterial oxygen saturation (SPO₂) and fixed to the skin with 2-0 silk suture. After the catheter insertion, the area surrounding the catheter was cleaned with sterile gauze soaked with povidine iodine and a dry sterile gauze occlusive dressing covered the site. Strict asepsis was adhered to while accessing the CVCs at any time by the physician as well as nursing personnel. Catheter dressings were

changed every 48 hours and connecting lines were changed at every 72 hour intervals under strict aseptic precautions. Total parental nutrition (TPN) was not used in any of these cases through the CVC. The catheter was removed after one week, under strict aseptic precautions.

Upon withdrawal of the catheter, distal 5 cm was cut with a sterile surgical blade and inserted into a sterile culture tube container and transported immediately to the Department of Microbiology, where the necessary tests were conducted. Simultaneously percutaneous sample of blood was sent for culture and sensitivity. In the laboratory, under standard conditions catheter segment was cultured on 5% sheep blood agar, using a semi-quantitative technique (SQC).⁹ Each catheter segment was transferred from the transport tube on to the surface of the blood agar plate and using a flamed forceps rolled back and forth 4 to 5 times across the agar surface and plate is incubated over night at 37 °C. Growth of more than 15 colony forming units (CFU) for the roll plate sample on blood agar was considered as significant and positive for catheter tip colonization. Growth less than or equal to 15 was considered as not significant. After the roll plate inoculation, the catheter segment was immersed in 2 mL of normal saline and vortexed for 2 to 3 min. Inoculums from the fluid was then cultured with calibrated loop on blood agar and Mac Conkey's agar overnight and colony count was done. A count of 10³ CFU/mL or more was considered as significant^{10.} The type of organism was identified by routine tests. For each catheter inserted, type of organism isolated with significant colony was recorded and antimicrobial susceptibility was performed to various antibiotics. Laboratory results were collected after 48 to 72 hours for catheter tip and 7 days for blood cultures. Catheter related

infection (CRI) was defined according to catheter tip colonization. Catheter tip colonization was significant when growth of microorganisms was > 15 colony forming units characterized by significant growth of a microorganism on the catheter but no growth in blood cultures. CRBSI was defined as isolation of same microorganism from a semi quantitative or quantitative culture of a catheter segment and from the blood (preferably drawn from a peripheral vein) of a patient, accompanied by clinical symptoms of blood stream infection without any other apparent source of infection.

RESULTS

In our study 60 patients aged between 18-60 years requiring central venous cannulation were included and randomized into IJV and SCV groups of 30 patients in each group. The mean age in IJV and SCV group were 32.2 ± 13.8 and 38.2 ± 15.5 years respectively (p=0.120)

(Table 1). In IJV group there were 17 males compared to 15 males in SCV group (p=0.796).

Among 30 central venous catheters in each group, tip cultures were positive on SQC in a significantly higher proportion of patients in IJV group compared to SCV group (15/30 Vs 7/30; p=0.030). The number of positive blood culture was three (10%) and four (13.3%) in the IJV and SCV group respectively (p=0.6710) (Table 2). Fourteen patients of IJV and 11 patients of SCV group required a second attempt for cannulation. The incidence of catheter tip colonization and blood culture positive was high with second attempt (Table 3). There was a significant difference in catheter tip colonization between first and second attempt in SCV group (p=0.004) and first attempt between the groups (p=0.011). The most common microorganism colonizing the CVCs were Gram negative bacilli (Table 4) which include Klebsiella spp, non-fermenting

	IJV	SCV	p-value
	(n=30)	(n=30)	
Age (years)*	32.2±13.8	38.2±15.5	0.120
Gender (Male:Female)	17:13	15:15	0.796
Diagnosis			
Snake bite	2	0	
Supravasmol poisoning	4	7	
Organophosphate compound poisoning	12	9	
Alprazolam poisoning	0	1	
Unknown poisoning	1	1	
Neurological deficit	9	11	
Obesity hypoventilation syndrome	1	0	
Renal failure	1	1	

Table 1	1: Baselin	e patient c	haracteristics	

* expressed as mean ± standard deviation

IJV = internal jugular vein; SCV = subclavian

Table 2: Comparison of catheter tip culture with blood culture between the groups

Site	IJV	SCV	p-value	
	(n=30)	(n=30)		
Catheter tip culture positive	15 (50%)	7(23%)	0.030	
Blood culture positive	3(10%)	4(13.34%)	0.671	

IJV = internal jugular vein; SCV = subclavian

	IJV	SCV	p-value
	(n=30)	(n=30)	
Catheter tip culture positive			
1 st attempt	7 (23.3%)	1 (3.4%)	0.011
2 nd attempt	8 (26.7%)	6 (20%)	1.000
p-value	0.715	0.004	
Blood culture positive			
1 st attempt	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.4%)	0.571
2 nd attempt	2 (6.7%)	3 (10%)	0.288
p-value	1.000	0.126	

Table 3: Comparison of catheter tip culture positivity and blood culture positivity in relation to number of
attempts between groups

IJV = internal jugular vein; SCV = sub clavian

Gram negative bacteria, Pseudomonas spp, Proteus, *E.coli* and Moraxella. The other organisms isolated are Gram positive which included Micrococcus, *S.aureus*, Coagulase negative Staphylococcus, Citrobacter, mixed organisms including Gram-positive, Gramnegative organisms and *Candida albicans*. There was no significant difference in the type of micro organisms isolated between the groups.

DISCUSSION

The incidence and morbidity caused due to infection of peripheral venous catheters is low

compared to central venous catheters⁹ especially those placed in an intensive care unit (ICU). In ICU, central venous access is required for long periods, for administration of drugs, fluids, blood products and blood sampling with frequent manipulation due to which there is increased chances of hospital acquired infections.

There are many studies which have compared central venous catheter related infection (CRI) which includes CTC and CRBSI between three different central venous cannulation sites (femoral, IJV, SCV).¹¹⁻¹³ But in our study we

 Table 4: Comparison of catheter tip culture positivity and blood culture positivity in relation to type of organisms between groups

Variable	IJV	SCV	
	(n=30)	(n=30)	
Catheter tip culture positive (n=22)			
Gram-positive	2	0	
Gram-negative	12	5	
Mixed	1	2	
Blood culture positive (n=07 of the 22 CTC positive cases)*			
Gram-positive	2	2	
Gram-negative	1	2	
Mixed*	0	0	
Catheter related blood stream infection			
Gram-positive	0	1	
Gram-negative	0	1	
Mixed	0	0	

*One sample showed Candida albicans

CTC, catheter tip culture; IJV = internal jugular vein; SCV = sub clavian

have compared CRI in IJV and SCV because femoral site has already proven to have increased incidence of infection due to high density of skin flora in groin region¹⁴ and is frequently replaced by neck lines. Whereas controversy still exist between IJV and SCV.

In our study, jugular venous access shows a higher incidence of catheter tip colonization similar to other reports.^{15,16} The catheter tip colonization was almost twice as high in the IJV group compared with SCV group (68.2% Vs. 31.8%). The higher incidence of catheter tip colonization with jugular access compared to subclavian access is probably due to various factors favoring skin colonization like proximity of the insertion site to the mouth and the oropharyngeal secretions, higher density of local skin flora due to higher local skin temperature and the difficulties in maintaining occlusive dressings.^{5,17,18}

Out of the 7 cases with positive blood culture (3 in IJV and 4 in SCV group) only 2 cases of CRBSI were diagnosed in our study and both patients belong to SCV group. Only 2 out of 22 patients with colonization of CVCs tips had CRBSI, suggesting an infected catheter may not always lead to CRBSI. Hence, in our study incidence of CRBSI was more in SCV group compared to IJV group which was similar to observations reported in another study.¹⁹ Few investigators7,8,20 reported a higher incidence of CRBSI in IJV group compared to SCV group where as others²¹⁻²⁴ did not report any such difference in CRBSI rate between IJV and SCV site. There was no case of catheter related local infection which includes induration, erythema or purulent discharge.

In our study, 35 out of 60 catheters were placed in single attempt, remaining 25 in second attempts. Of these, 8 and 14 from the first and second attempt respectively showed catheter tip colonization. Among these blood cultures were positive in 3 from first attempt and 4 from second attempt. Two cases of CRBSI reported where also cannulated in second attempt. Though there is no significant difference in CTC and blood cultures with the number of attempts in both groups still there is an increase in incidence of infection as the number of attempts increased similar to observations in another study.²⁵

In our study, we changed CVCs once every 7 days because in several studies, central venous catheterization longer than 5 to 7 days were associated with a higher risk of catheter related infection^{18,25} and in other studies, CVCs were routinely changed every 7 to 10 days.^{26,27} The risk of infectious complications with CVCs has also been reported to be more with increased duration of use.

In our study the proportion of Gram negative isolates (77.2%) compared with Gram positive (9.1%) and mixed (27.3%) was much higher than that reported in western hospitals^{28,29,30} but in accordance with similar studies from India.^{31,32} There is no significant difference between Gram positive and Gram negative isolates between the IJV and SCV groups (p=0.265). *Klebsiella spp*, Non fermentative Gram negative bacteria, *Pseudomonas spp* and *E.coli* were the common organisms colonizing the CVCs in our study. The organism isolated in CRBSI is Pseudomonas spp. and *S.aureus*.

Limitations of our study include small sample size, length of catheter fixation was not constant, and analysis of catheter tip was done on the seventh day, antibiotic coverage was not uniform for all patients different antibiotics were used depending on the condition of the patient and patients with diabetes mellitus were not excluded from our study.

Our observations suggest that gram negative microbial organisms are more common to get colonized at central venous catheter tip irrespective of their site of placement but IJV catheters are more prone to get colonized with microbes compared to catheters placed through the SCV route.

REFERENCES

- Merrer J, De Jonghe B, Golliot F, Lefrant JY, Raffy B, Barre E, et al. Complications of femoral and subclavian venous catheterisation in critically ill patients. JAMA 2001;286:700-7.
- O'Grady NP, Alexander M, Dellinger EP, Gerberding JL, Heard SO, Maki DG, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR Recomm Rep 2002;51:1-29.
- Raad I, Hanna H, Maki D. Intravascular catheterrelated infections: advances in diagnosis, prevention, and management. Lancet Infect Dis 2007;7:645-57.
- 4. Frasca D, Dahyot-Fizelier C, Mimoz O. Prevention of central venous catheter-related infection in the intensive care unit. Crit Care 2010;14:212.
- Dimick JB, Pelz RK, Consunji R, Swoboda SM, Hendrix CW, Lipsett PA. Increased resource use associated with catheter-related bloodstream infection in the surgical intensive care unit. Arch Surg 2001;136:229-34.
- Mermel LA, Allon M, Bouza E, Craven DE, Flynn P, O'Grady NP, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intravascular catheter-related infection: 2009 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2009;49:1-45.
- Leonardo L, Christophe H, María M M, Alejandro J, María LM. Central venous catheter-related infection in a prospective and observational study of 2,595 catheters. Critical Care 2005;9:631-5.
- Charalambous C, Swoboda SM, Dick J, Perl T, Lipsett PA. Risk factors and clinical impact of central line infections in the surgical intensive care unit. Arch Surg 1998; 133:1241-6.
- Maki DG, Weiss CE, Sarafin WH. A semi quantitative culture method for identifying intravenous cannulae related infection. N Eng J Med 1977;296:1305-9.
- Tenney JH, Moody MR, Newman KA, Schimpff SC, Wade JC, Costerton JW, et al. Adherent microorganisms on lumenal surfaces of long-term intravenous catheters. Importance of Staphylococcus epidermidis in patients with cancer. Arch Intern Med 1986;146:1949-54.

- Goetz AM, Wagener MM, Miller JM, Muder RR. Risk of infection due to central venous catheters: effect of site of placement and catheter type. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1998; 19:842-5.
- Merrer J, De Jonghe B, Golliot F, Lefrant JY, Raffy B, Barre E, et al. Complications of femoral and subclavian venous catheterization in critically ill patients. JAMA 2001;286:700-07.
- Collignon P, Soni N, Pearson I, Sorrell T, Woods P. Sepsis associated with central vein catheters in critically ill patients. Intensive Care Med 1988;14:227-31.
- Bozzetti F, Terno G, Camerini E, Baticci F, Scarpa D, Pupa A. Pathogenesis and redictability of central venous catheter sepsis. Surgery 1982;91:383-9.
- 15. Sadoyama G, Gontijo Filho PP. Comparison between the jugular and subclavian vein as insertion site for central venous catheters: microbiological aspects and risk factors for colonization and infection. Braz J Infect Dis 2003;7:142-8.
- Christian BB, FekriA, Patrick L Yann H, Salah L, Maurice R. Diagnosis of central venous catheterrelated sepsis critical level of quantitative tip cultures. Arch Intern Med 1987;147:873-7.
- 17. Heard SO, Wagle M, Vijayakumar E, McLean S, Brueggemann A, Napolitano LM, et al. Influence of triple-lumen central venous catheters coated with chlorhexidine and silver sulfadiazine on the incidence of catheter-related bacteremia. Arch Intern Med 1998;158:81-7.
- Moro ML, Viganò EF, CozziLepri A. Risk factors for central venous catheter-related infections in surgical and intensive care units. The Central Venous Catheter-Related Infections Study Group. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1994;15:253-64.
- Siqueira, Walkiria H, Rodrigo C, Maria AN, Daniela MC, Roberto AC. Catheter-associated bloodstream infections (CA-BSI) in wards: a prospective comparative study between subclavian and jugular access J. Vasc Bras 2011;10:3.
- 20. Breschan C, Platzer M, Jost R, Schaumberger F, Stettner H, Likar R. Comparison of catheter-related infection and tip colonization between internal jugular and subclavian central venous catheters in surgical neonates. Anesthesiology 2007;107:946-53.

Hemalatha et al

Bacterial colonization of central venous catheters

- 21. Pirat A, Camkiran A, Zeyneloglu P, Ozkan M, Akpekand E, Arslan G. Comparison of internal jugular and subclavian access for central venous catheterization in pediatric cardiac surgery. Crit Care 2012;16:210.
- 22. Deshpande KS, Hatem C, Ulrich HL, Currie BP, Aldrich TK, Bryan-Brown CW, et al. The incidence of infectious complications of central venous catheters at the subclavian, internal jugular, and femoral sites in an intensive care unit population. Crit Care Med 2005;33:13-20.
- 23. Torgay A, Pirat A, Candan S, Zeyneloglu P, Arslan G, Haberal M. Internal jugular versus subclavian vein catheterization for central venous catheterization in orthotopic liver transplantation. Transplant proc 2005;37:3171-3.
- 24. Gowardman JR, Robertson IK, Parkes S, Rickard CM. Influence of insertion site on central venous catheter colonization and bloodstream infection rates. Intens Care Med 2008;34:1038.
- 25. Richet H, Hubert B, Nitemberg G, Andremont A, Buu-Hoi A, Ourbak P, et al. Prospective multicenter study of vascular-catheter-related complications and risk factors for positive central-catheter cultures in intensive care unit patients. J Clin Microbiol 1990;28:2520-5.
- 26. Damen J, Verhoef J, Bolton DT, Middleton NG, van der Tweel I, de Jonge K, et al, Nijsen-KarelseM.Microbiologic risk of invasive

hemodynamic monitoring in patients undergoing open-heart operations. Crit Care Med.1985;13:548-55.

- 27. Kaur R, Mathai AS, Abraham J. Mechanical and infectious complications of central venous catheterizations in a tertiary-level intensive care unit in northern India. Indian J Anesth 2012;56:376-81.
- Bentley DW, Lepper MH. Septicemia related to indwelling venous catheter. JAMA 1968;206:1749-52.
- 29. Darbyshire PJ, Weightman NC, Speller DC. Problems associated with indwelling central venous catheters. Arch Dis Child 1985;60:129-34.
- Moran JM, Atwood RP, Rowe MI. A Clinical and Bacteriologic Study of Infections Associated with Venous Cutdowns. N Engl J Med 1965;272:554-60.
- 31. Gopalakrishnan R, Sureshkumar D. Changing trends in antimicrobial susceptibility and hospital acquired infections over a year period in a tertiary care hospital in relation to introduction of an infection control programme. J Assoc Physicians India 2010;58:25-31.
- 32. Ramana BV, Chaudhury A. Device associated nosocomial infections and patterns of antimicrobial resistance in a tertiary care hospital. J Dr NTR Univ Health Sci 2012;1:86-9.